1	ODDICK HEDDINGTON & CUTCULEER LLD	
	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (SBN 1215	(70)
2	kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)	
3	ahurst@orrick.com	
4	GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218) gramsey@orrick.com	
	405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105	
5	Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759 PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice)	
6	pbicks@orrick.com	
7	LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice) lsimpson@orrick.com	
8	51 West 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10019	
	Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
9	DAVID BOIES (pro hac vice)	
10	dboies@bsfllp.com 333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504	
11	Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300	
12	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com	
	1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460	
13	ORACLE CORPORATION	
14	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
15	dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527)	
16	deborah.miller@oracle.com MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)	
	matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com	
17	RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058) ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com	
18	500 Oracle Parkway,	
19	Redwood City, CA 94065 Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
23	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA
25	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
	v. GOOGLE INC.	ORACLE'S MOTION IN LIMINE #7 REGARDING REPLY REPORT OF
26	Defendant.	GOOGLE'S DAMAGES EXPERT, DR. GREGORY LEONARD
27	Defendant.	
28		Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup
		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ORACLE'S
		MOTION IN LIMINE #7 RE:

REPLY REPORT OF DR. LEONARD

- 1		
1	This Court has read and considered Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc.'s Motion in Limine #7	
2	Regarding the Reply Expert Report of Google's Damages Expert Dr. Gregory Leonard, and any	
3	Opposition thereto and Reply in support thereof, and any and all additional supporting papers.	
4	Based thereon [and on statements made by counsel at the hearing on the Motion], IT IS	
5	HEREBY ORDERED THAT Oracle's Motion is GRANTED.	
6	Portions of Dr. Leonard's analysis, opinions, and conclusions are stricken and his	
7	testimony on those matters excluded under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37 because they are	
8	based on new evidence and analysis disclosed for the first time in his Reply Report, yet are used	
9	to support opinions on expense deductions and apportionment on which Google bears the burden	
10	of proof.	
11	Specifically, Dr. Leonard's analysis, opinions, conclusions, and testimony regarding	
12	previously undisclosed conversations with Mr. Gold are excluded in their entirety because Dr.	
13	Leonard failed to disclose this information in his opening report. Dr. Leonard uses this	
14	information to support Google's expense deductions, which Google bears the burden of proving.	
15	Dr. Leonard's sensitivity analysis set forth in paragraphs 24-28 and Exhibits 1a-1d to his	
16	Reply Report are excluded because Dr. Leonard failed to include this analysis in his opening	
17	report. Dr. Leonard uses this information to support his apportionment analysis on which Google	
18	also bears the burden of proof.	
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22		
23	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		